

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 TARAS KRYSA,
12 Plaintiff(s),
13 v.
14 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
15 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00106-JCM-NJK

Order

[Docket Nos. 22, 24]

16 Pending before the Court is Defendant UNLV's proposed discovery plan and a joint status
17 report. Docket Nos. 22, 24.

18 Plaintiff has declined to engage in a Rule 26(f) conference, asserting that discovery should
19 not proceed in light of the pending motion to remand. *See* Docket No. 24 at 2. The pendency of
20 a motion to remand is not generally cause to stay discovery. *E.g. Anoruo v. Valley Health Sys.,*
21 *LLC*, 2018 WL 1785866, at *3 (D. Nev. Apr. 13, 2018).¹ No justification has been provided to
22 chart a different course here. Moreover, the Court generally prefers not to enter a scheduling order
23 when a proper Rule 26(f) conference has not occurred.

24
25
26

¹ To the extent reasonable grounds exist to stay discovery in this case, a proper motion
27 must be filed explaining how the governing standards are satisfied. The filing of that motion does
28 not impact the requirements established herein to conduct a Rule 26(f) conference and file a joint
proposed discovery plan.

1 Accordingly, Defendant's proposed discovery plan is **DENIED** without prejudice. The
2 parties must engage in a Rule 26(f) conference by May 1, 2020. The parties must file a joint
3 proposed discovery plan by May 7, 2020.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: April 28, 2020

6 
7 Nancy J. Koppe
8 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28